

**EVEREST****Information Society  
Technologies****EVEREST IST-2002-001858****D17*****Test and validation scenario description*****Contractual Date of Delivery to the CEC: 31.05.2005****Actual Date of Delivery to the CEC: 10.06.2005****Editor: Avelina Vega Novella (TID)****Author(s): see list****Participant(s): UPC, KCL, PTIN, TI, TID, TEL****Workpackage: WP5****Est. person months: 7****Security: PU****Nature: Report****Version: 001****Total number of pages: 30****Abstract:**

**This deliverable deals with the description of the way of identifying demonstration scenarios and the possibility of comparing results. The main section in this document is the description of every identified scenario for the test of the real time system.**

**Keyword list: Test, Demonstrations, Testbed, Real Time Applications**

## **DISCLAIMER**

The work associated with this report has been carried out in accordance with the highest technical standards and the EVEREST partners have endeavoured to achieve the degree of accuracy and reliability appropriate to the work in question. However since the partners have no control over the use to which the information contained within the report is to be put by any other party, any other such party shall be deemed to satisfied itself as to the suitability and reliability of the information in relation to any particular use, purpose or application.

Under no circumstances will any of the partners, their servants, employees or agents accept any liability whatsoever arising out of any error or inaccuracy contained in this report (or any further consolidation, summary, publication or dissemination of the information contained within this report) and/or the connected work and disclaim all liability for any loss, damage, expenses, claims or infringement of third party rights.

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Date	Version	Status	Comments
16.05.2005	0.1	Int	Initial Contributions
19.05.2005	0.2	Int	First Draft for Comments
30.05.2005	0.3	Int	Final Contributions
03.06.2005	1.0	Int	Final version for PCC approval
10.06.2005	1.0	Apr.	Approved by PCC

## **Authors List**

Teresa Almeida (PTIN)  
Filipe Cabral Pinto (PTIN)  
Ferran Casadevall (UPC)  
Ramon Ferrús (UPC)  
Antoni Gelonch (UPC)  
Héctor González Sanchís (TID)  
Nafisi, Nima (KCL)  
Sallent, Oriol (UPC)  
Joao Rebelo (PTIN)  
Avelina Vega Novella (TID)  
Lin Wang (KCL)

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>CONCEPTS CONCERNING TEST AND VALIDATION PLAN .....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	A MODEL FOR THE DEMONSTRATIONS SCENARIOS .....	1
2.2	SHORT DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPLEMENTED TESTBED. ....	2
2.2.1	<i>Radio Access Networks Emulation</i> .....	3
2.2.2	<i>Core Network</i> .....	3
<b>3</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF THE VALIDATION SCENARIOS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	RAT SELECTION.....	4
3.1.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	4
3.1.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	4
3.1.3	<i>Demonstration 1.1: Initial RAT Selection with one technology in the priority list</i> .....	4
3.1.4	<i>Demonstration 1.2: Initial RAT Selection with two technologies in the priority list</i> .....	5
3.1.5	<i>Demonstration 1.3: Initial RAT Selection with three technologies in the priority list</i> .....	6
3.1.6	<i>Demonstration 1.4: Service-based policy</i> .....	7
3.1.7	<i>Demonstration 1.5: Radio network-based policy</i> .....	7
3.2	E2E QoS RENEGOTIATION AND IMPACT ON THE APPLICATIONS .....	8
3.2.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	8
3.2.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	8
3.2.3	<i>Input Conditions</i> .....	8
3.2.4	<i>Demonstration 1.1: static QoS traffic class mapping</i> .....	8
3.2.5	<i>Demonstration 1.2: dynamic QoS traffic class mapping</i> .....	9
3.3	CN MOBILITY MANAGEMENT & IMPACT ON THE APPLICATIONS .....	9
3.3.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	9
3.3.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	9
3.3.3	<i>Input Conditions</i> .....	9
3.3.4	<i>Demonstration 1.1: test related to the disruption time</i> .....	10
3.3.5	<i>Demonstration 1.2: test related to the resource availability at the new IP attachment point</i> .....	10
3.4	ADVANCED ADMISSION CONTROL FOR DOWNLINK UMTS .....	10
3.4.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	10
3.4.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	10
3.4.3	<i>Input Conditions</i> .....	11
3.4.4	<i>Demonstration 1.1: Static users with 384kbps service</i> .....	11
3.4.5	<i>Demonstration 1.2: Static users with 64kbps service</i> .....	11
3.4.6	<i>Demonstration 1.3: Users with 384kbps service and mobile speed at 50km/h</i> .....	12
3.4.7	<i>Demonstration 1.4: Users with 384kbps service and mix of static and mobile speed at 50km/h</i> .....	12
3.5	IMPACT OF INDOOR USERS IN UMTS.....	13
3.5.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	13
3.5.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	13
3.5.3	<i>Input Conditions</i> .....	13
3.5.4	<i>Demonstration 1.1: All users (including reference user) outdoor</i> .....	13
3.5.5	<i>Demonstration 1.2: 50% of users indoor (including reference users) and 50% of the users outdoor</i> .....	14
3.6	COMPLETE SIMULATION .....	14
3.6.1	<i>General Objective</i> .....	14
3.6.2	<i>Scenario</i> .....	14
3.6.3	<i>Input conditions</i> .....	14
3.6.4	<i>Demonstration 1.1: Complete simulation: All algorithms</i> .....	14
<b>4</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>ANNEX 1: DENSE URBAN SCENARIO DESCRIPTION (2B) .....</b>	<b>17</b>